# Choosing the Right Device for the Right Anatomy

AP Valves 2019 Main Arena, Vista Hall, B2

10/8/2019 10:02am to 10:12am

# Simon Lam

#### MBBS(HK) FRCP (Glas) FHKCP (HK) FHKAM (HK) FACC

Associate Consultant Structural Heart Intervention Program Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong



### Disclosures

- Proctor
  - St Jude Medical/Abbott
  - Edwards LifeScience
  - Lifetech Scientific
  - Boston/Claret

Challenges in getting the correct device for different LAA Anatomies

- Large anatomical variations among LAA anatomies
- Devices of fixed shape
- Complete sealing of LAA is our goal



#### From the Product Information Animations



Flexible connection allows device to self-orient

Disc

orifice



# Is One System Better Than The Other?

- It is rare that a left atrial appendage cannot be closed (less than 5 % with all device)
- No data comparing different device head to head
- Differences in
  - backup clinical data
  - some specific exclusion-inclusion criteria
  - some potential advantages/disadvantages in specific anatomical subsets



### Size of the LAA

Feature			Ampla	itzer M	Cardiac	Plug 1				Am	platzer	M Cardi	nc Plug 2	(Amule	t™)	
Sizes (mm)	16	18	20	22	24	26	28	30	16	18	20	22	25	28	31	34
Disc diameter		Lobe +	4 mm			Lobe -	- 6 mm			Lobe -	+6 mm		1	Lobe -	+7 mm	-
Lobe length				6.5	mm					7.5	mm			10	mm	
Waist length				4	nin					5.5	mm			8	mm	
SW diameter				0.0	06°							0.0	065*			
SW pairs				1	6						6			8	1	10
Sheath (Fr)		9	3	10		3	3				12			1	14	
Proximal disc end-screw				Protr	uding							Rec	essed			
Preparation				Partially (	ve-loaded	£						Fully pr	e-loaded			
SW: stabilising wires																

#### • ACP -

- max Landing zone width
  - 12.6 mm 28.5 mm
  - 8 sizes (16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30 mm)

#### Watchman

- max ostium diameter
  - 17 mm 31mm
  - 5 sizes (21, 24, 27, 30, 33 mm) <sup>29</sup>

N	lax LAA Ostium	<b>Device Diameter</b>
	17 – <mark>1</mark> 9 mm	21 mm
	20 – 22 mm	24 mm
	23 – 25 mm	27 mm
	26 – 28 mm	30 mm
m)	29 – 31 mm	33 mm

#### Device Size Selection - Amulet

Maximum Landing Zone Width (mm)	Amulet™De vice Size	Lobe Length (mm)	Minimum LAA Depth (mm)	Disc Diameter (mm)	Sheath Diameter
11.0-13.0	16	7.5	≥ 10	22	
13.0-15.0	18	7.5	≥ 10	24	12 F
15.0-17.0	20	7.5	≥ 10	26	or 14 F
17.0-19.0	22	7.5	≥ 10	28	(with adaptor)
19.0-22.0	25	10	≥ 12	32	
22.0-25.0	28	10	≥ 12	35	
25.0-28.0	31	10	≥ 12	38	14 F
28.0-31.0	34	10	≥ 12	41	



### Device Sizes and Corresponding Delivery Systems of LAmbre









Cat.	Diameter of Umbrella(mm)	Diameter of Cover(mm)	Delivery system
LT-LAA-1622	16	22	8F-900
LT-LAA-1824	18	24	10F-900
LT-LAA-2026	20	26	9F-900
LT-LAA-2228	22	28	10F-900
LT-LAA-2430	24	30	
LT-LAA-2632	26	32	
LT-LAA-2834	28	34	
LT-LAA-3036	30	36	107 000
LT-LAA-3236	32	36	10F-900
LT-LAA-3438	34	38	
LT-LAA-3640	36	40	

Cat.	Diameter of Umbrella(mm)	Diameter of Cover(mm)	Delivery system
LT-LAA-1630	16	30	9F-900 10F-900
LT-LAA-1832	18	32	
LT-LAA-2032	20	32	
LT-LAA-2234	22	34	10F-900
LT-LAA-2436	24	36	
LT-LAA-2638	26	38	

 If pre-defined landing zone being out of range, sometimes can consider deploy in a relatively deeper position



## Depth of the LAA

- ACP
  - minimal functional LAA length 10 mm
- Amulet
  - minimal functional LAA length 10-12 mm
- Watchman
  - minimal functional LAA length at least = device diameter
- LAmbre
  - Minimal requirement

### Need for Deep Seating of Introductory Sheath

- Watchman yes
- Other devices not always necessary



Queen Mary Hospital 0341-2017 XA Left Coronary 15 fps

#### RAO 30 CRAN 20

XA Left Coronary 15 fps

RAO 30 CAU 20



#### Ostium 20-23mm Watchman #27mm

Leit Coronary 15 Ips



#### Shallow LAA - Case



#### Im: 1/57 Selanding Zone 25mm AMULET #28mm

Queen Mary Hospital 0342-2017 XA Left Coronary 15 fps

WL: 129 WW: 190 [D] RAO: 30 CAU: 20

10/3/2017 17:14:25











### **Delivery Sheath** – LAA alignment

• Watchman is more forgiving regarding sheath position/orientation



 ACP/Amulet requires more than Watchman for a well defined landing zone/perpendicular orientation of the sheath in relation to the LAA ostium



• ACP in difficult alignment angle – Sheath modification

HKU

- ACP in poor defined landing zone Sandwich technique
  - overcome challenging anatomies
  - extreme chicken wing type
  - secured position
  - forgiving extreme angles









		29/06/2016	05:23:16PM X7-2t/Adult	TIS0.2 M	1 0.5
	3D Beats 1				M4
0 70 180					
				JPEG	
T: 37.0C T: 38.7C					74 bpm
	T: 37.0C T: 38.7C	3D Beats 1	29/06/2016	29/06/2016 05:23:16PM X7-2t/Adult	29/06/2016 05:23:16PM TISO.2 M X7-2t/Adult 3D Beats 1

#### After 6M Therapeutic Warfarin



#### Ostium 18-20mm Watchman #24mm

#### RAO 30 CRAN 20

Queen Mary Hospita 0338-2013 X/ Left Coronary 15 fp:

Im: 1/57 Se: 28

### 2 Component Disc Type Devices



#### AMULET #22mm



# Special LAA Morphology

- Small LAA
- LAA with multiple lobes and restrictive septum
- Special design of LAmbre Device





PHILIPS				10/01/2019	10:27:13 X7-2t/Adult	TIS0.2	MI 0.5
FR 14Hz 7.9cm		3D Be	ats 1				M4
3D 47% 3D 40dB	0 45 180						
						JPEG	
PAT TEE	T: 37.0C T: 38.7C						70 bpm







CF 0.1346 mm/pix

12/4/2019 12:16:48 PM



WL: 512 WW: 1024 [D]

CF 0,1305 mm/pix

12/4/2019 12:17:41 PM

### Device Sizes and Corresponding Delivery Systems of LAmbre









Cat.	Diameter of Umbrella(mm)	Diameter of Cover(mm)	Delivery system
LT-LAA-1622	16	22	8F-900
LT-LAA-1824	18	24	10F-900
LT-LAA-2026	20	26	9F-900
LT-LAA-2228	22	28	10F-900
LT-LAA-2430	24	30	
LT-LAA-2632	26	32	
LT-LAA-2834	28	34	
LT-LAA-3036	30	36	107 000
LT-LAA-3236	32	36	10F-900
LT-LAA-3438	34	38	
LT-LAA-3640	36	40	

Cat.	Diameter of Umbrella(mm)	Diameter of Cover(mm)	Delivery system
LT-LAA-1630	16	30	9F-900 10F-900
LT-LAA-1832	18	32	
LT-LAA-2032	20	32	
LT-LAA-2234	22	34	10F-900
LT-LAA-2436	24	36	
LT-LAA-2638	26	38	

- Ostium max 28mm
- Anterior lobe 17mm
- Disc selection = 28 + 5 (round up) to 33
- Lobe/umbrella selection = 33-6 = 27 or 33-12 = 21
- Selection LAMBRE 22/34mm or LAMBRE 20/32

(Special device)



Im: 1/99 Se: 15

> Queen Mary Hospital 0536-2019 XA Fluoroscopy

WL: 115 WW: 213 [D] RAO: 30 CAU: 20

12/4/2019 12:49:15 PM

#### Queen Mary Hospital 0536-2019 XA Fluoroscopy

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

WL: 115 WW: 213 [D] RAO: 32 CAU: 17

# Deployment of Umbrella/Lobe

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_0.jpeg)

Preservation of LAA ostium for future AF ablation

- Non-disc type of device –Favourable
  Watchmen
- Non-device based eg. Lariat

![](_page_55_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Other Considerations

- Recapture and repositioning
- Ease of management of device embolization
- Variety of size selection
- Introductory sheath design/choice
- Sheath size
- Ease of device preparation
- Occurrence of Leaks
- LARIAT excluded if history of cardiac surgery
- Atricue AtriClip no requirement for post antiplatelet/anticoagulation
- Doubt on pre-existing LAA clot

### Conclusion

- Limitations of individual device exists
- In many circumstances

![](_page_58_Picture_3.jpeg)

HKU

- theoretical limitations can be overcome by operator skill and technique
- limitations of one device can be overcome by its next generation
- No head-to-head comparison so far
- Operators' familiarity with, personal preference and accessibility to a device may also play a role
- Cost
- Potential for tailor made device in future (?)

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

Division of Cardiology, QMH

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_0.jpeg)

Division of Cardiology, QMH